Virtual Reality as a Clinical Tool for Pain Management

Ali Pourmand¹ · Steven Davis¹ · Alex Marchak¹ · Tess Whiteside¹ · Neal Sikka¹

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract
Purpose of Review To evaluate the use of virtual reality (VR) therapies as a clinical tool for the management of acute and chronic pain.
Recent Findings Recent articles support the hypothesis that VR therapies can effectively distract patients who suffer from chronic pain and from acute pain stimulated in trials. Clinical studies yield promising results in the application of VR therapies to a variety of acute and chronic pain conditions, including fibromyalgia, phantom limb pain, and regional specific pain from past injuries and illnesses.
Summary Current management techniques for acute and chronic pain, such as opioids and physical therapy, are often incomplete or ineffective. VR trials demonstrate a potential to redefine the approach to treating acute and chronic pain in the clinical setting. Patient immersion in interactive virtual reality provides distraction from painful stimuli and can decrease an individual’s perception of the pain. In this review, we discuss the use of VR to provide patient distraction from acute pain induced from electrical, thermal, and pressure conditions. We also discuss the application of VR technologies to treat various chronic pain conditions in both outpatient and inpatient settings.
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Introduction

Virtual reality (VR) is the artificial construction of a 3D environment via computer technology [1]. Traditional VR systems include a head-mounted device (HMD) with 3D-enabled goggles, sensory input devices, headphones, and/or body tracking sensors which, together, allow for a multisensory experience [2••, 3]. HMD tracks an individual’s head movements to create an experience of movement through the simulated environment [1, 4]. VR therapies have become increasingly important as a multidisciplinary tool in the field of pain management [1, 2••, 5]. VR therapy provides a sense of presence, allowing individuals to feel that they are a part of the virtual environment, and incorporates interactive scenarios to engage the subject in the environment [6••]. VR software provides settings (e.g., peaceful scenes or gaming scenarios) where the patient can assume an interactive avatar to experience presence in the environment [6••]. These interactive and immersive VR techniques are more effective in reducing pain than non-immersive techniques, such as traditional screen viewing or gaming with a 2D screen [5].

Pain is a reaction to noxious stimuli and, according to gate control theory, is regulated by non-nociceptive-gated mechanisms within the spinal cord that work to augment or attenuate perceived pain [7]. Distracting stimuli can attenuate a perceived pain through downregulating nociceptive neural signaling [8]. Unlike many analgesics, which disrupt the C-fiber pathway that relays nociceptive signals to the central nervous system, VR affects pain perception via attention, concentration, and emotional alteration [1]. The immersive environments created by VR diminish the pain experience by up-regulating non-painful neural signaling [2••].

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) reports half of opioid overdose result from prescription narcotics, and opioids have now surpassed traffic-related injuries as a cause of...
death in the USA [9]. VR distraction therapy may provide an alternative or adjunct to current pain therapies, offering a new strategy in the effort to curb a national opioid epidemic.

Materials and Methods

PubMed was searched with keywords “virtual reality pain”, yielding 347 articles, of which 187 were published in English and within the last 5 years. Ninety-four remained after screening for articles describing virtual reality use in chronic and acute pain, of which 28 described VR immersion therapy included in this review. Duplicate studies and studies unable to be accessed were not included.

Acute Pain

Recent studies have tested the effectiveness of VR immersion as a mechanism to distract subjects from acute pain induced via electrical, thermal, and pressure stimuli. These studies suggest that distraction provided by VR may be effective in diminishing perception of procedural pain and acute pain episodes (Table 1) in response to some noxious stimuli.

Pressure Pain

In a randomized, double-blinded study, Smith et al. determined that using VR to alter social and emotional context had no effect on pain sensitivity ($p = 0.48$). They concluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>VR study description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. [10]</td>
<td>Randomized,</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>The tolerance of pressure pain was not impacted by the emotional or social context of VR ($p = 0.48$).</td>
<td>Using a HMD and headphones, subjects were immersed in five environments plus control: social positive, social neutral, social negative, pleasant, and threatening (using Oculus Rift DK2). Pressure pain thresholds were determined at baseline, in each of the five environments, and after the procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeth et al. [11]</td>
<td>Crossover</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Subjects with virtual arm protection had decreased perception of electrical stimuli vs. virtual-uncovered and neutral arm states ($p &lt; 0.001$).</td>
<td>Using a HMD, subjects saw a virtual arm in three different states: uncovered, neutral with sleeve, and armored. Subjects were given increasing electrical stimuli in each condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demeter et al. [12]</td>
<td>Crossover</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Patients in VR condition showed significantly greater pain tolerance vs. control condition ($p &lt; 0.001$).</td>
<td>Subjects underwent two trials in random order during which thermal pain was delivered. One trial was done with the subject wearing VR “EyeToy” immersive reality goggles, and the control trial was done without VR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gutierrez-Maldonado J</td>
<td>Crossover</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Subjects in a VR condition had significantly higher pain thresholds ($p &lt; 0.05$) and pain tolerance ($p &lt; 0.01$).</td>
<td>Participants submerged their non-dominant hand in cold water under VR and non-VR conditions. The VR intervention included 3D glasses displaying a stereoscopic figure that could be manipulated by the subject to create a pleasant environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>et al. [13]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson et al. [14]</td>
<td>Crossover</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Among subjects in a VR simulation, pain tolerance scores were lowest in the baseline condition (no VR), then higher with sound only, still higher with HMD only, and highest in with sound plus HMD ($p &lt; 0.05$).</td>
<td>Participants completed four cold-presser trials under different conditions: no HMD and no sound (baseline), sound only, HMD only, and HMD plus sound. The HMD displayed Radial-G, an interactive racing game with first-person perspective. The player is seated in a virtual spaceship and races around a track alone, with the speed and direction of the virtual vehicle controlled via a patient-driven input device (e.g., the arrow buttons on a keyboard).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharar et al. [15]</td>
<td>Crossover</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Subjects in immersive VR condition reported significantly decreased pain intensity ($p &lt; 0.001$).</td>
<td>Subjects were given an 18-min sequence of electrically and thermally induced pain on distal extremities while wearing a HMD immersing them in a “Snow World.” Subjects followed a path through a canyon and threw snowballs using a patient-driven input device (e.g., handheld mouse).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that further research should be done on manipulating the source and meaning of the pain stimulus, instead of the emotional state of an individual [10].

**Electrical Pain**

In a crossover study examining the effect of virtual projections of limbs on the perception of pain, Weeth et al. reported a decrease in pain experienced on a virtual-protected (armored) arm when compared to the virtual-uncovered (bare skin) and neutral (with a shirt) arms ($p < 0.001$) [11].

**Thermal Pain**

In a study enrolling 62 subjects conducted by Demeter et al., the authors showed pain tolerance to be significantly higher when subjects wore VR-immersive goggles ($p < 0.001$) [12]. Gutierrez-Maldonado et al. conducted a trial under similar conditions in which subjects reported significantly higher pain thresholds ($p < 0.05$) and pain tolerance ($p < 0.01$) with VR immersion therapy in which subjects interacted with and manipulated a stereoscopic figure [13]. In a trial involving 32 participants, Johnson et al. studied thermal pain threshold during a cold pressor test under four VR conditions: baseline (no HMD and no sound), sound only, HMD only, and HMD plus sound. Pain tolerance was measured by the total number of seconds the participant kept their non-dominant hand submerged in ice water during each VR condition. Pain tolerance scores were lowest in the baseline condition followed by the sound-only condition and then the HMD-only condition. The highest pain tolerance was in the sound plus HMD VR condition ($p < 0.05$), suggesting that the use of sound in VR is an important component of the immersive experience [14].

**Multiple Pain Stimuli (Thermal and Electrical)**

Sharar et al. examined how immersing subjects in an interactive VR gaming world would provide a distraction to acute electrical and thermal pain stimuli. Subjects reported significantly decreased pain intensity ($p < 0.001$) associated with less anxiety, a more enjoyable experience, and a greater feeling of presence during VR immersion [15].

**Chronic Pain**

Chronic pain is defined clinically as pain lasting more than 3 months and/or beyond the time of expected healing [16]. It is a prevalent and often-disabling condition, yet pharmacological treatments can be ineffective or insufficient to successfully manage symptoms [17]. Recent studies have investigated the use of VR in reducing pain in patients suffering from varied chronic conditions (Table 2).

**SIAS Syndrome**

Pekyavas et al. compared the use of VR to a home exercise program in patients with subacromial impingement syndrome (SIAS). Patients in both groups reported a decrease in pain intensity ($p < 0.05$) after 6 weeks, with the VR group showing greater improvement in SAIS symptoms and shoulder movement [18].

**Fibromyalgia**

Botella et al. studied six women with fibromyalgia, who reported improved functional status following VR treatment. Importantly, participants continued to improve in the 6-month follow-up period, suggesting the long-term benefits of VR for significantly reducing pain in patients with fibromyalgia [19].

**Chronic Migraine**

de Tommaso examined the effect of pain perception of virtual environmental settings in patients who suffer from chronic migraines when stimulated with laser-evoked potentials. Results showed a reduction in VAS values for both control and migraine patients when immersed in a sea-view waiting room compared to a regular waiting room, though only the chronic migraine group results showed statistical significance ($p < 0.039$) [20]. Shiri et al. studied VR and biofeedback treatment in pediatric patients with chronic headaches. Patient pain ratings, daily functioning, and quality of life significantly improved as measured at 1- and 3-month post-treatment ($p < 0.05$) [21].

**Phantom Limb Pain**

In a clinical trial assessing the use of VR in alleviating phantom limb pain (PLP), Ortiz-Catalan et al. reported significant improvements in all metrics of PLP (intensity, frequency, duration, and intrusion) after 12 VR sessions. The authors reported a 47% decrease in weighted pain distribution, 32% decrease on the numeric rating scale, and 51% decrease for pain rating index. Improvements in perceived pain were seen at the 1-, 3-, and 6-month post-treatment follow-ups, suggesting the potential for motor execution with VR as a non-invasive treatment option for PLP [22].

**Miscellaneous**

In a study of 30 patients with chronic pain syndromes, Jones et al. reported a 60% decrease in subjective pain rating during a 5-min VR session, and a 33% decrease in pain rating remained after the VR session was completed ($p < 0.001$) [23••]. Two studies by Wiederhold et al.
Table 2  Use of immersive virtual reality in the treatment chronic pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>VR study description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pekyavas et al. [18]</td>
<td>30 Patients reported a decrease pain intensity in both groups (p &lt; 0.05), with the WII group reporting significantly better results for Neer test, SRT, and SAT (p &lt; 0.05).</td>
<td>Subjects with SIAS were randomized into home exercise program or VR exercise gaming with Nintendo Wii (a 3D program that creates VR movements). VR boxing, bowling, and tennis were used for bilateral shoulder elevation and movement, rated by scapular retraction test (SRT), scapular assistance test (SAT), and Neer Test. Pain intensity was rated using visual analogue scale (VAS).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botella et al. [19]</td>
<td>Randomized, controlled clinical study</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Average FIQ ratings decreased slightly from pre-test (58.05) to post-test (53.01), with greater improvement at the 6-month follow-up (46.90).</td>
<td>Female subjects with fibromyalgia (FM) were immersed in 10 2-h VR sessions with relaxing natural environments (e.g., beaches, meadows) The scenes were projected onto a large screen with speaker systems. A patient-driven input device (e.g., handheld mouse) was provided for navigation. Pain intensity was evaluated via FM Impact Questionnaire (FIQ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Tommaso et al. [20]</td>
<td>Prospective single-arm open-label pilot study</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>VAS values increased in the RH group and increased in the IH group, for both the control and chronic migraine subjects. However, only the chronic migraine group reached statistical significance (p &lt; 0.039) as compared to RH group.</td>
<td>Using a HMD, subjects with and without a history of chronic migraines experienced laser-evoked potentials (LEPs) during immersive VR simulation. One group was immersed in a regular hospital (RH) waiting room setting while the other was in an ideal hospital (IH) waiting room, with a window overlooking the sea, comfortable furniture, and natural light.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiri et al. [21]</td>
<td>10 Pain, daily functioning, and quality of life significantly improved at 1- and 3-month post-treatment (p &lt; 0.05).</td>
<td>Pediatric subjects participated in 10 sessions where they watched a screen displaying a virtual representation of themselves and a representation of their pain as a colored area on their forehead. As they stared at the screen and were instructed to relax, they watched as the size and color of the area faded. Electrodes were attached to monitor GSR (galvanic skin response). Pain was assessed via VAS and quality of life via PedsQL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortiz-Catalan et al. [22]</td>
<td>Single group, clinical trial</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Patients reported a 47% decrease in weighted pain distribution, 32% decrease on the numeric rating scale, and 51% decrease for pain rating index (p = 0.0001). Improvements partially maintained at 1-, 3- and 6-month follow-ups. Two of the four patients on pain medication reported a lower intake.</td>
<td>Subjects with upper limb amputation and phantom limb pain (PLP) were immersed in 12 VR sessions, during which electrodes were attached to their affected limbs to predict movement via myoelectric pattern recognition. This allowed for voluntary control of a virtual limb. A VR racing game was viewed on a large computer screen, and a car was driven by phantom movements. Pain was assessed via the McGill Pain Questionnaire and the present pain intensity scale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al. [23]</td>
<td>30 Pain ratings were reduced from baseline by 60% during the VR session and by 33% after the VR session (p &lt; 0.001).</td>
<td>Using a HMD (Oculus Rift DK2) and headphones, subjects were immersed in 5-min VR sessions via the application Cool! and interacted with various landscapes using a patient-driven input device (e.g., handheld mouse). Subjects could throw orbs and fish at otters, who would then burst into flames, make sounds, and change colors. Pain was assessed via VAS.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiederhold et al. [24]</td>
<td>6 4 Patients reported a 75.8% drop in pain intensity during the VR session (p &lt; 0.05). Patients reported decreased pain during VR session, (p &lt; 0.05 to p &lt; 0.001). Pulse rates were significantly reduced with VR (p &lt; 0.05).</td>
<td>Using a HMD and physiological sensors, participants experienced a 15-min VR session simulating pleasant and calming environments (e.g., forest, beach, mountain). Soothing music and natural sound effects, such as wind and swaying branches, were added. Pain ratings were obtained using self-report questionnaires.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin et al. [25]</td>
<td>Crossover</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cryoslide significantly reduced perceived pain in VR group versus control group.</td>
<td>Using a HMD (Oculus Rift DK2) and headphones, subjects played the VR game Cryoslide, with 4 min of sliding in an ice cave followed by 6 min of sliding in an ice world. The player could hit creatures with snowballs using a patient-driven input device (e.g., handheld mouse). Pain intensity was rated using VAS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrett et al. [26]</td>
<td>Case Study</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Five patients reported reduced pain during the VR experience, but no difference in post-exposure pain scores was observed. One patient reported short-term mobility improvement.</td>
<td>Subjects with chronic pain were immersed in a virtual environment (e.g., solar system, underwater landscape) via HMD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Qualities of Effective Virtual Reality in Pain Management

Won et al. described five characteristics of virtual reality interventions necessary for effective distraction therapy and pain reduction. First, among these characteristics is presence, the sense of immersion in the environment that allows for a realistic experience and directs a subject’s attention from adverse stimuli. Increased presence in a given scenario is associated with a more effective VR experience and reduction in pain [6••]. As a side note, children have a higher sense of presence due to their inability to more effectively separate fantasy and reality. Thus, adults and children may require different types of VR to induce high levels of presence and allow for the most successful VR experience [6••]. Second, interactivity describes the degree of engagement a participant has in a virtual scenario and is determined by the sensory input and user interface with the software. Interactivity encourages physical involvement, and pain tolerance. Third, social interaction in the VR environment can augment distraction from pain. Fourth, customization offers participants autonomy to choose a scenario most suited to their needs and preferences. Finally, embodiment of the virtual avatar describes the degree to which physical movements translate to the virtual movement of the avatar and allows participants to feel immersed in the virtual world [6••].

Combination of Acute and Chronic Pain in Currently Hospitalized Patients

Two recent studies examined the use of VR in undifferentiated hospitalized patients reporting pain of varying chronicity and intensity. Tashjian et al. conducted a 6-month non-randomized, comparative cohort study of the analgesic effect of 2D distraction therapy versus 3D VR distraction therapy in 100 medical inpatients who reported a pain score of ≥3/10. The control cohort viewed a 2D nature video on a high-definition bedside TV screen, while the intervention cohort received a 3D VR immersion experience (Pain RelieVR) designed to reduce pain using the Samsung Gear Oculus VR headset. Pain RelieVR is an immersive, 360° game wherein users attempt to shoot moving targets within a fantasy environment. The mean pain reduction in the VR cohort was greater than in controls (p = 0.008). A total of 35 (65%) patients in the VR cohort achieved a pain response versus 20 patients in the control group (40%, p = 0.01) [27]. A second study conducted by Mosadeghi et al. examined the analgesic effects of VR distraction therapy in 30 hospitalized patients. Study participants selected from the VR environments using Samsung Gear VR goggles, with options for ocean exploration, Cirque du Soleil, or a tour of Iceland. A majority of users described the experience as pleasant and capable of reducing pain and anxiety [28].

Limitations

This review is limited by the relatively small number of studies, in particular the small number of large, randomized, and blinded studies. We included the use of non-immersive VR systems in clinical applications only where immersive trials did not exist. The authors believe that immersive VR gaming techniques provide a greater reduction in pain than do non-immersive techniques. Finally, few studies have investigated VR distraction therapy in the long-term management of chronic pain. This represents a need for further clinical research in this area.

Conclusion

Non-pharmacological adjuncts like VR have become an increasingly important option for effective pain management. The current epidemic of opioid misuse has increased a sense of urgency for identifying effective non-opioid analgesia. The current evidence presented in this review demonstrates the potential for VR distraction therapy to provide effective analgesia for patients experiencing varied pain across many settings. The 28 articles reviewed here support the hypothesis that virtual reality can distract patients to reduce pain and anxiety. The evidence shows effective VR use for short-term
pain relief in both acute and chronic pain. VR distraction providing immersive environments wherein patients interact with the virtual scenario appear most effective. As VR system cost has fallen in recent years, immersive VR is increasingly used as an adjunct therapy and may soon allow for patient-directed analgesia in the outpatient setting. While current studies yield promising results for the use of VR distraction in acute and chronic pain, further research is needed to determine the degree of therapeutic effectiveness and to identify the potential for long-term treatment of pain.
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